

Pioneer Community Energy Services Agreement

Facilities Committee September 20, 2017



Background

- Placer County has formed a JPA for the purpose of establishing and managing a Community Choice Energy (CCE) program
 - Pioneer Community Energy (PCCE)
- PCCE has expressed an interest in taking certain scheduling and portfolio management services from NCPA
- NCPA has been working with PCCE regarding high level concepts of portfolio design and composition, but such advice has been limited in nature
- It is forecasted that PCCE will serve a majority of the load in Placer County
 - Excluding the City of Roseville



Services Agreement Structure

- NCPA is working to develop a draft Services Agreement for PCCE
 - Scope of Services
 - Defined Compensation
 - Escalated at 2% per year
 - Liability Protection and Limited Liability
 - Liability based on insurance limits
 - Collateral / Security Requirements
 - CAISO security requirements (estimated aggregate liability)
 - Est. Gross Amount: \$1,000,000
 - Est. Net Amount: \$210,000
 - NCPA security requirements (est. highest 3 monthly invoice amounts)
 - Est. Gross Amount: \$12,625,525
 - Est. Net Amount: \$2,759,790
 - Term
 - Initial Term of two (2) years (with automatic extension)



Scope of Services – Services Agreement

- Proposed Scope of Services
 - Scheduling Coordination Services
 - Submission of Bids
 - Outage Coordination
 - Meter Data Validation (Limited in Scope)
 - Control Center Services
 - Real-Time Monitoring and Coordination (Limited in Scope)
 - Portfolio Management and Optimization
 - Pre-Scheduling
 - Forecasting
 - Resource Management
 - Risk Management



Scheduling Coordinator Structure

- SC Options
 - Option 1
 - NCPA act as Scheduling Coordinator
 - Register new SCID with CAISO for PCCE scheduling
 - Option 2
 - NCPA temporarily act as Scheduling Coordinator, transfer SC obligations to PCCE
 Scheduling Coordinator when fully registered
 - Register new SCID with CAISO for PCCE scheduling under the NCPA SCA
 - Transfer PCCE load to PCCE SC portfolio when fully registered
 - Option 3
 - NCPA act as SC Agent on behalf of PCCE
 - PCCE to register as Scheduling Coordinator; NCPA to act as SC Agent
- Recommendation
 - Authorize Option 2 with transition to Option 3
 - Pending option selected, develop the proper level of protection to limit NCPA's liability associated with PCCE CAISO transactions



SMUD CCA Services Offering

Valley Clean Energy Alliance (VCEA) and SMUD VCEA Selected SMUD to provide CCA services SMUD is excited to be involved with California CCA movement Publicly owned, value driven mission alignment SMUD Vertically integrated publicly owned utility in Sacramento 600,000 accounts, established for 70+ years focus on community investment and innovative customer energy solutions VCEA CCE program in Yolo County, serves City of Davis, City of Woodland, and Unincorporated Yolo County Mitch Sears, Interim General Manager 65,000 accounts Launch in June 2018 – 1 phase

SMUD's CCA Services

- · SMUD's Comprehensive Services
 - Wholesale Energy Services
 - Procurement, SC, Settlements, Regulatory, Credit Sleeve, Enterprise Risk Management
 - Data Management and Call Center Services
 - Cloud based CRM/EDI, JD Power award winning call center and customer operations
 - Operational Services
 - Able to access SMUD operational services through hybrid cost + materials and fixed cost placement of staff on-site
 - Budgeting, planning, accounting, and other operational services
 - Access to planning function for Day-2 services, including DER planning

SMUD

- Key Elements of SMUD Service Proposal:
 - SMUD proposing to front credit for CCAs
 - SMUD will take title to energy project and power portfolio
 - Direct SC services (no agent model)
 - Full Suite (including call center and retail support services)



Compensation

- Proposed approach:
 - Develop an estimate of the cost of service using the Nexant Cost Allocation Model
 - Adjust the results of the estimate, as needed, to account for market alternatives
- Commission previously authorized NCPA's General Manager to negotiate a PCCE cost of service within a defined range
- Staff are now seeking to modify the Commission authorization to delegate authority to NCPA's General Manager to negotiate the cost of service with PCCE, within a predefined range



Modeling Assumptions - Nexant

Load

- Muni Load 65,000 per year
- Non-Residential Load 600,000 per year
- Residential Load 600,000 per year

Contracts

- 3 24x7 power supply contracts (Energy, RPS, Capacity)
- 1 On-Peak power supply contract
- 1 Off-Peak power supply contract

Schedules

- DAM
 - 6 x 365 (1 Load and 5 Supply Contracts)
- RTM (100 schedules)

Direct Assignments

- Forecasting: 5% of Direct Assignment
- Resource Planning: 10% of Direct Assignment
- Pre-Scheduling: 5% of Direct Assignment
- Risk Management: 25% of Direct Assignment
- Integrated Systems: 3 IT Units (Load, Trades, System)



Model Estimate

- Based on Full Buildout of PCCE
 - \$425,000 per year

	General Power	Direct	Pool	System	Mgmt Total Pwr	Increase
	Mgt	Assignments	Allocation	Integration	Mgmt	(Decrease)
Alameda	\$638,014		\$262,396	\$24,808	\$925,218	(\$36,830)
BART	\$384,619	\$184,416	\$98,638	\$9,497	\$677,170	(\$40,811)
Biggs	\$38,597		\$21,434	\$864	\$60,895	(\$3,171)
Gridley	\$45,539		\$28,362	\$4,156	\$78,057	(\$4,325)
Healdsburg	\$107,428		\$50,260	\$2,001	\$159,688	(\$6,412)
Lodi	\$706,209		\$309,248	\$12,714	\$1,028,171	(\$41,117)
Lompoc	\$183,447		\$86,088	\$3,559	\$273,093	(\$11,853)
Palo Alto	\$998,646		\$532,142	\$48,553	\$1,579,340	(\$64,832)
Plumas Sierra	\$180,944		\$105,359	\$10,093	\$296,396	(\$13,302)
Port of Oakland	\$217,832		\$115,825	\$5,101	\$338,758	(\$20,343)
Roseville	\$461,914	\$53,788	\$0	\$31,657	\$547,359	(\$15,765)
Santa Clara	\$2,954,081	\$53,788	\$0	\$101,302	\$3,109,170	(\$123,664)
PCCE	\$277,898	\$136,654	\$0	\$9,497	\$424,049	\$424,049
Truckee-Donner	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Turlock Irrigation District	\$97,445	\$7,684	\$0	\$18,994	\$124,123	(\$4,479)
Ukiah	\$270,389		\$123,709	\$8,448	\$402,547	(\$17,230)
	\$7,563,001	\$436,329	\$1,733,461	\$291,243	\$10,024,033	\$19,918
Direct to Programs - LEC	\$1,170,536	\$145,996		\$25,325	\$1,341,857	(\$19,918)
	\$8,733,537	\$582,325	\$1,733,461	\$316,568	\$11,365,891	\$0

Note: PM cost reductions shown for discussion purposes only; any revenues generated would be allocated back to members based on the adopted Commission revenue allocation policy



Staff Proposal

- Based on the output of the Nexant Cost Allocation Model, define a preauthorized range in which the General Manager of NCPA may negotiate the amount of compensation for the Services rendered
- Staff Recommendation:
 - Cost of Service
 - \$425,000
 - Escalated at 2% per year
 - Negotiation Authority:
 - Plus / Minus 15% of model estimate



Next Steps / Timeline

Approval Process

- UD Discussion and Review (9/14/2017)
 - UD feedback was supportive of recommendations
- Special Facilities Committee (9/20/2017)
- Commission Review and Approval (9/29/2017)
- Pending Commission Review and Approval (10/26/2017)

Integration

- Oct 2nd NCPA to begin process of registering new SCID
 - Internal database / software configuration
- Oct 23rd Coordinate development and submittal of load forecast for CRRs and Resource Adequacy
- Nov 15th Submission of RA demonstration
- Jan 1st First day to schedule load
- Within 6 months complete PCCE SCID registration process and load migration (transfer)



Key Integration Activities

- Registration of new NCPA SCID (early Oct 2017)
 - No GMC costs until measured activity in the SCID
 - \$1,000 per month once activated
- Coordinated development of RA load forecast
 - Assist PCCE with submission of RA load forecast to CPUC
 - CPUC submission of forecast to CEC (Late Oct 2017)
 - Will not result in direct CAISO costs
- Submission of PCCE RA Demonstration (Nov 15th)
 - At this point, NCPA could begin incurring costs from CAISO
 - Service Contract must be executed
- Load registration, assignment and association (to NCPA SCID)
 - Dec 2017
- Initiate scheduling services
 - Jan 2018



Recommendation

- NCPA staff seeks the Facilities Committee's recommendation for Commission approval to:
 - (i) enable NCPA to develop and negotiate a Services
 Agreement under which NCPA may supply certain scheduling
 and portfolio management services to PCCE, as further
 described herein; and
 - (ii) to delegate authority to the General Manager of NCPA to negotiate the amount of compensation to be charged to PCCE for NCPA's provision of Services, within a defined range as determined by the Commission, and as further described herein.



Questions / Comments